Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/684,334	FUJIWARA, RYUHEI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kambiz Zand	2132
All Participants:	Status of Application	: <u>Non-Final</u>
(1) Kambiz Zand.	(3)	·
(2) <u>Phillip j. Artigola</u> .	(4)	•
Date of Interview: 22 February 2005	Time: <u>2 <i>PM</i></u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:	•	
Claims discussed:  claim 5 was discussed for minor informalities.  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.	·	
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation.</li> </ul>	the examiner will provide a erecord of the substance of	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
	,	
M Mad		
<i>1</i> //		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was informed of typo errors with respect to claims 1 and 5. It was agreed the typo errors be corrected by Examiner's amendment by deleting the phrase "server" from line 4 of the claim 5; and replacement of the phrase "a" line 28 of claim 1 with the phrase "said" in order to expedite the prosecution of the application.